



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/574,541

04/26/2006

Dieter Stroh

06029

4138

23338 7590 09/26/2008  
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD  
1727 KING STREET  
SUITE 105  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

PATEL, DEVANG R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/26/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                     |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/574,541 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>STROH ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>DEVANG PATEL      | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1793             |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DEVANG PATEL. (3) IRA J. SCHULTZ.

(2) KEVIN KERNS. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tamamoto, Neuwirth.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner will consider filed amendment in terms of structure of the sonotrode and such will require further search for patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| /Devang Patel/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1793 | /Kevin P. Kerns/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 |
|---|---|